

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/099,620	DENINNO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	L. E. Crane	1623	

All Participants:

(1) L. E. Crane.

(2) Mr. Marvin Bressler.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 22 July 2004

Time: 5PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

enablement rejection and art rejections

Claims discussed:

1-32

Prior art documents discussed:

None.

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant was advised that claims 1-13 and 24-32 were allowable as amended but that claims 14-23 were not. Applicant agreed to an examiner's amendment correcting minor errors and cancelling claims 14-23 without prejudice.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)